



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/536,625	03/28/2000	Anthony Italo Provitola		5607 EXAMINER	
23362 7	12/03/2003		EXAMI		
ANTHONY I. PROVITOLA POST OFFICE BOX 2855			SHERKAT, AREZOO		
DELAND, FL			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2131	ス	
		-	DATE MAILED: 12/03/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



/			
		Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)
		09/536,625	PROVITOLA, ANTHONY ITALO
	Offic Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Arezoo Sherkat	2131
Peri d fo	The MAILING DATE of this c mmunication app	ears on the c ver sheet with the	correspondence address
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY	/ IS SET TO EVOIDE 2 MONTH	K(S) EDOM
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failui - Any r	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13  SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, pelly received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) davill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed  bys will be considered timely.  In the mailing date of this communication.  ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	,	
2a) <u></u>		is action is non-final.	
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under	ince except for formal matters, p	
Dispositi	on of Claims		
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application	•	
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.	
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.		•
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers	r election requirement.	
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.	
10) 🔲 -	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep	oted or b) objected to by the Exa	aminer.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) 🔲 -	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approved b)□ disappr	oved by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	oly to this Office action.	
12) 🗌 -	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.	
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(	a)-(d) or (f).
a)[	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.	
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicat	tion No
* S	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bursee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the control of th	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	J
14) 🗌 A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(e) (to a provisional application).
	) $\square$ The translation of the foreign language pro	• •	
Attachmen	t(s)		
2) D Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)
J.S. Patent and Tr PTOL-326 (R		tion Summary	Part of Paper No. 3

Art Unit: 2131

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 1. Claims 1, 8, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) based upon a public use or sale of the invention of Baker, U.S. Patent No. (5,961,645).

In regard to claim 1, Baker discloses an internet operation including a system of secret internet web sites comprising: a plurality of computers programmed to operate as web servers, one or more of said web servers hosting internet web sites for said internet operation, one or more of said internet web sites being main sites having URLs which are publicly associated with said internet operation accessible through said internet web sites (Col. 1, lines 18-21); one or more of said internet web sites being secret sites having URLs which are not publicly associated with said internet operation, said secret sites being a part of said internet operation by which said internet operation may be accessed (Col. 1 line 65 to Col. 2 line 6 and Col. 2 line 65 to Col. 3 line 6).

In regard to claim 8, Baker discloses a system where the user is free to contact and use the main site anonymously as permitted and desired (Col. 1, lines 18-21).

In regard to claim 14, Baker discloses a system of secret internet web sites, comprising: a plurality of computers programmed to operate as web servers, one or more of said web servers hosting internet web sites for an internet operation (Col. 1,

Art Unit: 2131

lines 18-21); one or more of said internet web sites being secret sites having universal resource locators (URLs) which are not publicly associated with any internet operation, which are operated to provide access to internet operations of other operators of internet web sites (Col. 1 line 65 to Col. 2 line 6 and Col. 2 line 65 to Col. 3 line 6).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 2, 3, 15, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Baker, U.S. Patent No. (5,961,645) in view of Jablon, U.S. Patent No. (6,226,383).

Claims 2, 3, 15, and 16 are rejected applied as above in rejecting claims 1 and 14. Furthermore, Baker teaches users being redirected to other URLs (Col. 2, lines 27-35). However, Baker doesn't expressly disclose using the system of secret Internet web sites to secure the Internet operation against cybervandalism including denial-of-service attacks. Jablon discloses a system to handle on-line trial-and-error dictionary attacks and "denial-of-service" attacks (Col. 13, lines 15-20). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ teachings of Jablon within the system of Baker because it would allow

Art Unit: 2131

redirection to an operational URL in order to secure the Internet operation against cybervandalism including denial-of-service attacks.

 Claims 4-7, 13, 17, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Baker, U.S. Patent No. (5,961,645) in view of Klug, U.S. Patent No. (5,790,785).

Claim 4 is rejected applied as above in rejecting claim 1. However, Baker doesn't expressly disclose that one or more of said secret sites are assigned to one or more users of said Internet operation. Klug discloses the process of subscribing a user to a website using Registrar (Figs. 4A and 4B). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ teachings of Klug within the system of Baker because it would allow one or more of said secret sites to be assigned to one or more users of said internet operation.

Claims 5 and 17 are rejected applied as above in rejecting claims 1 and 14.

However, Baker doesn't expressly disclose the URLs of secret sites being maintained as secret by entities authorized by the operator of the Internet operation from all but those users who have been given the knowledge thereof by said operator. Klug discloses the steps of storing user's ID (and optionally password) in a user registration information database to keep track of the user's registration to a third party website (Fig. 8). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ teachings of Klug within the system of Baker because it would allow the URLs of secret sites being maintained as secret by entities

Art Unit: 2131

authorized by the operator of the Internet operation from all but those users who have been given the knowledge thereof by said operator.

Claim 6 is rejected applied as above in rejecting claim 1. However, Baker doesn't expressly disclose the URL of a secret site, acquired by a user through assignment to a user by an entity authorized by the operator. Klug discloses the process of subscribing a user to a website using Registrar (Figs. 4A and 4B). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ teachings of Klug within the system of Baker because it would allow the URL of a secret site to be acquired by a user through assignment to a user by an entity authorized by the operator.

Claim 7 is rejected applied as above in rejecting claim 1. However, Baker doesn't expressly disclose a secret site is one whose existence, identity and URL are learned by a user only through the process of subscription. Klug discloses the process of subscribing a user to a website (Figs. 4A and 4B). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ teachings of Klug within the system of Baker because it would allow a secret site whose existence, identity, and URL are learned by a user only through the process of subscription.

Claims 13 and 20 are rejected applied as above in rejecting claims 1 and 14.

However, Baker doesn't expressly disclose the secret site program that queries the user for the identification, verifies the information, and proceeds to assign one of the secret site URLs to the user. Klug teaches the steps performed in the process of registering at

Art Unit: 2131

a third party website (Col. 8, lines 20-40). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ teachings of Klug within the system of Baker because it would allow the secret site program to query the user for the identification, verify the information, and proceed to assign one of the secret site URLs to the user.

Claims 9, 11, 12, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Baker, U.S. Patent No. (5,961,645) in view of Jablon, U.S. Patent No. (6,226,383) in further view of Klug, U.S. Patent No. (5,790,785).

Claim 9 is rejected applied as above in rejecting claim 1. Furthermore, Baker teaches users being redirected to other URLs (Col. 2, lines 27-35). However, Baker doesn't expressly disclose that a user may subscribe for a secret site URL while the main site is under attack. Jablon discloses a system to handle on-line trial-and-error dictionary attacks and "denial-of-service" attacks (Col. 13, lines 15-20). Klug discloses an independent Registrar Website (Fig. 1, elem. 100) which may handle the process of subscribing a user to a third party website (Fig. 1). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ teachings of the combined system of Jablon and Klug within the system of Baker because it would allow a user may subscribe for a secret site URL while the main site is under attack.

Claim 11 is rejected applied as above in rejecting claim 1. Furthermore, Baker teaches users being redirected to other URLs (Col.2, lines 27-35). However, Baker doesn't expressly disclose subscription by a user during an attack through another

Page 7

Internet operation, one completely independent of the operation on the main site. Jablon discloses a system to handle on-line trial-and-error dictionary attacks and "denial-of-service" attacks (Col. 13, lines 15-20). Klug discloses a Registrar Website (Fig. 1, elem. 100) which may handle the process of subscribing a user to a third party website (Fig. 1). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ teachings of the combined system of Jablon and Klug within the system of Baker because it would allow subscription by a user during an attack through another Internet operation, one completely independent of the operation on the main site.

Claims 12 and 19 are rejected applied as above in rejecting claims 1 and 14.

Furthermore, Baker teaches users being redirected to other URLs (Col.2, lines 27-35).

However, Baker doesn't expressly disclose a reserve of secret sites, maintained to become available to the users of said Internet operation in the event of an emergency created by an attack. Jablon discloses a system to handle on-line trial-and-error dictionary attacks and "denial-of-service" attacks (Col. 13, lines 15-20). Klug discloses that user id and password information is stored in the third party website database for the future access of the third party website by the user (Fig. 8). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ teachings of the combined system of Jablon and Klug within the system of Baker because it would allow a reserve of secret sites, maintained to become available to the users of said Internet operation in the event of an emergency created by an attack.

5. Claims 10 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Baker, U.S. Patent No. (5,961,645) in view of Waldner, U.S. Patent No. (6,141,413).

Claims 10 and 18 are rejected applied as above in rejecting claims 1 and 14. However, Baker doesn't expressly disclose the telephone subscription system based on telephone contact, automated to provide a secret site URL. Waldner discloses an automated telephone answering apparatus (Fig. 2) including a storage device which stores information linking at least one of the telephone numbers for which telephone calls are being answered by the telephone answering apparatus to a uniform resource locator (URL) identifying a page on the World Wide Web (Web page) associated with the telephone number, a look-up device which looks up the telephone number of each telephone call answered by the telephone answering apparatus in the storage device and, if the telephone number is found in the storage device, retrieves the URL identifying the Web page associated with the telephone number from the storage device ... (Abstract). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ teachings of Waldner within the system of Baker to have a telephone subscription system based on telephone contact, automated to provide a secret site URL.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arezoo Sherkat whose telephone number is (703) 305-8749. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-4:30 Monday-Friday.

Art Unit: 2131

Page 9

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz Sheikh can be reached on (703) 305-9648. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Arezoo Sherkat

AYAZ SHEIKH SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100